Workflow for Making an e-Lab



L. Quigg, I2U2 Meeting, Original December 2005, Updated October 2008

Scientist/Techie View Architecture and Implementation: Served from a centralized server Common e-Lab database and tools Virtual Data System and Chiron

Java Server Pages / Java Beans

Understand an e-Lab





Common Elements

User Registration Library: Study Guide (Milestones), References, Glossary Items Logbook, Comments Workflows, Execution, Search, Plots, Annotations







Educators and Scientistis/Developers

Define the Project



Scientists and educators meet together to understand how to translate the scientist's research tools and data into a student-centered research experience. They identify

- what kind of research questions students can answer.
- how to make the data accessible to students.
- narrow down the analyses students can do.
- limit the input parameters; visualize an interface.

Educators

- experiment with tools and data with students
- optionally work with data with local tools (Excel)

experiment with tools and data with students
optionally work with data with local tools (Excel)

Educators





Educators and Scientistis/Developers



Develop the Content

Develop the Portal





The full procedure for evaluation is documented in the following table from the grant proposal.

1.6 Part B. Effectiveness of I2U2 e-Labs and Learning Community Activities (Professional Development)

Research/Evaluation Matrix: On the following matrix each evaluation activity is related to a program goal/evaluation study question and expected outcomes related to the activity. Respondents and who is responsible for collecting the data are identified and evaluation criteria and/or purpose of the activity is described. The chart is arranged by development stage: first, product development, then ongoing assessment.

Goals - Study Questions	Expected Outcome(s)	Activities to Achieve Goals	Who Collects Evaluators analyze data	Evaluative Criteria/Purpose
To what extent are e-labs user- friendly, appropriate for intended users, and to what extent do they contribute to the teaching of curriculums/standards?	Developers gain an understanding of the extent to which: Teachers and students can easily use e-labs ¹ Materials (including online) are written for the appropriate use of the intended audience.	<i>Think-aloud protocol</i> Use protocol with two people at least three times during the development process or until there are no obvious glitches.	Developers conduct protocol and share summary to outside evaluators. (Developers will be trained to use the protocol.)	Get feedback to eliminate any obvious glitches. Evaluators report findings to all developers for overall quality control.
To what extent are e-labs viable classroom materials?	Developers gain an understanding of the extent to which: Materials are written for the appropriate use of the intended audience. e-labs contribute to curriculums and address standards.	<i>Expert review</i> Review by other e-lab developers, PIs, expert teachers (including selected fellows) and outside evaluators	Reviewers share reviews with outside evaluators who compile data.	Get feedback and suggestions from experts; outside evaluators provide information for developers continuing to work on and improve e-labs.
To what extent are e-labs user- friendly, appropriate for intended users, and to what extent do they contribute to the teaching of curriculums/standards? To what extent are learning objectives (LOs) achieved? To what extent are e-labs	Developers gain an understanding of the extent to which: Materials are written for the appropriate use of the intended audience. e-labs contribute to student learning.	Classroom beta testing (a. small and b. production scale) a. Pre- and post-tests, posters, rubrics, logs with high-achieving students b. Pre- and post-test, poster monitoring, bug tracking with all students	 a. Ten to twelve QuarkNet fellows and three to four LIGO teachers provide summary data to outside evaluator. b. QuarkNet fellows and selected participants in 15- 20 classrooms provide data to outside evaluator. Developers report 	 a. Developers check LO achievement and use feedback to make adjustments before production-scale testing. b. LO achievement should be reached by at least 60 percent of students; developers eliminate glitches.

I. Product Development